Dissecting Two-Dimensional Ultraviolet Spectra of Amyloid Fibrils into Beta-Strand and Turn Contributions
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ABSTRACT: We present an analysis of the contributions of various secondary structure elements of the amyloid β-protein to the two-dimensional far ultraviolet (2DFUV) signal of an amyloid fibril model. The contributions of the turns and the β-strands are affected by the geometry of the backbone peptide amide π→π* transition dipoles, the backbone interamide coupling in the excited state, and the exciton delocalization. These contributions are clearly distinguishable in the xyxy→xyyx pulse polarization configuration. The differences are attributed to the smaller splitting of the exciton energies and the larger fluctuations of the geometry of the peptide amide π→π* transition dipoles at the turns, while making the 2DFUV signal sensitive to the secondary structure. This signal may be used to determine the proportion of turns and β-strands.

1. INTRODUCTION

Absorption and circular dichroism spectra in the vacuum ultraviolet are commonly used to characterize the secondary structure of proteins. Circular dichroism is known to be highly sensitive to the spatial organization of backbone amide n→π* and π→π* transition dipoles and aromatic side chain transitions. Two-dimensional (2D) optical spectroscopy carries additional information. The extension of these techniques into the near-ultraviolet is now possible. Multidimensional spectroscopy in the middle and far ultraviolet could probe the backbone amide and aromatic side chains with high resolution, and facilitate their characterization.

In this article, the 2DFUV signal is shown to reveal correlations of different secondary structure elements of the constituent proteins of amyloid fibrils. Specific combinations of pulse polarizations can be used to dissect the highly congested spectra of excitons. The xyxy→xyyx polarization configuration has been used in two-dimensional infrared (2DIR) spectroscopy to eliminate diagonal peaks and increase the resolution of cross peaks. Polarization configurations have been used to measure the angles between chromophores in the CP29 light harvesting complex. Genetic algorithms have also been developed to construct optimal pulse polarizations and analyze selected features of simulated two-dimensional spectra of porphyrin dimers, and the Fenna–Matthews–Olson (FMO) photosynthetic complex.

These methods have been developed to dissect the contributions of different parts of the system to the two-dimensional spectrum. In the first method, a spectrum calculated by neglecting the couplings between the parts is compared with the coupled system. A second method, sensitivity analysis, shifts the energy of the elements of the Hamiltonian associated with a given chromophore or the coupling between them by a small amount and calculates the difference caused by this perturbation. A third dissection methodology is based on the projection, in real space, of the last laser pulse—matter interaction. In this dissection, the sum of the contributions from the various parts is equal to the total spectrum. These techniques are used in this article to identify the contributions of the turns and β-strands to the total 2D spectrum of the amyloid fibril model proposed by Tycko and co-workers.

We have used the EHEF (exciton Hamiltonian with electrostatic fluctuations) algorithm for the simulation of the 2DUV spectrum. The simulation protocol is first described in the methodology. In section 3, we present the distribution of electronic transitions and geometry of the proteins. In section 4, we analyze the extent of exciton delocalization across the protein and its correlation with the angle between neighboring backbone amide π→π* dipoles. The contributions of turns and β-strands of the 2DFUV signal are analyzed in section 5. Even though chiral signals have been shown to be very sensitive to the secondary structure of the protein, they are much weaker. In this article, we focus on the nonchiral 2D spectra.

2. METHODOLOGY AND AMYLOID FIBRIL MODEL

We use the molecular model of 32-residue β-amyloid (Aβ40) constructed by Tycko and co-workers. In this model based on NMR spectra, the conformation of the Aβ40 protein is an asymmetric “U” comprised of N-terminal and C-terminal β-strands, and a turn that links the strands. The proteins have three aromatic side-chains: Tyr10, Phe19, and Phe20, all on the N-terminal strand. We used in our simulation an aggregate model composed of 12 native Aβ40 proteins (see Figure 1). The effective fluctuating QM Hamiltonian, transition dipoles, and coordinates of the chromophores were constructed using...
the matrix method in the DichroCalc core. Details of the molecular dynamics simulation are given in ref 6. 300 snapshots at 310 K with 1 ps interval were harvested for the calculation of spectra.

Our simulation includes the backbone amide $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ (220 nm) and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ (190 nm) electronic transitions. Each aromatic side chain has four valence electronic excitations in the >250 nm region, $1L_0$, $1L_u$, $1B_0$, and $1B_u$ in Platt’s notation.19 The photon-echo signal was simulated using the nonlinear exciton equations.15 As implemented in the Spectron code, we have assumed Lorentzian linewidths with the same dephasing rate of 250 cm$^{-1}$ for all transitions. The 2D spectra were obtained using four short Gaussian pulses with a frequency of 52000 cm$^{-1}$, and a full width at half-maximum (fwhm) of 3754 cm$^{-1}$ was used. The time delay between the second and third pulses is zero, and consequently, no energy transport is observed in the signals.

### 3. ELECTRONIC COUPLINGS AND THE ANGLE BETWEEN $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ TRANSITION DIPOLES VS THE PEPTIDE BOND INDEX

2DFUV spectra of proteins probe the couplings between backbone peptide amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ and the aromatic side chain transitions. Table 1 compares the magnitude of the transition dipoles and excited state energies for all transitions included in the simulations. A number of factors suggest that the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions dominate the correlations observed in the signal: (a) The $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition dipoles are much larger than the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ dipoles; (b) the aromatic residues are rare; (c) the power spectrum of the pulses overlaps strongly with the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions; (d) neighboring $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition dipoles are strongly coupled (with off-diagonal elements $\sim$10$^3$ cm$^{-1}$ for the $\beta$-strand). Thus, we focus our analysis on the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions. The $\chi_{xy}^x$ polarization configuration amplifies the features associated with strongly coupled transitions.

The interaction of the chromophores with local electrostatic fields shifts the energy of the exciton states, and these local fields vary considerably with geometry fluctuations.20 Figure 2a shows the distribution of the excited state electronic couplings between neighboring amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions and its variation with the peptide bond $N$. Clearly, these couplings fluctuate much more strongly at the turns than at the $\beta$-strands. The couplings at the strands and the exciton splitting are generally stronger. The coupling between second neighboring chromophores is about 100 cm$^{-1}$, and its contribution is much lower.

Figure 2b shows the distribution of angles between $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition dipoles of neighboring peptide bonds as a function of the peptide bond index. These angles are centered around 90° at the turns and strongly fluctuate due to the nuclear motion.

### Table 1. Magnitude of the Transition Dipoles, Excited State Energies, and Number of Transitions in the Amyloid Fibril Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>transition</th>
<th>magnitude of the transition dipoles ($D$)</th>
<th>average excited state transition frequency of the chromophores ($10^3$ cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>number of transitions in the model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>backbone amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backbone amide $n \rightarrow \pi^*$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic tyrosine $1_4a$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic tyrosine $1_4b$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic tyrosine $1_Ba$</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic tyrosine $1_Bb$</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic phenylalanine $1_4a$</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic phenylalanine $1_4b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic phenylalanine $1_Ba$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatic phenylalanine $1_Bb$</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See ref 10 for further details of the simulation and ref 17 for details of the model.*
At the β-strands, in contrast, the angles are almost constant, reflecting the more rigid geometry. In section 5, we shall relate the distribution of backbone interamide couplings and transition dipole geometry with the contribution of various secondary structure elements to the 2DFUV signal.

4. EXCITON DELOCALIZATION ALONG THE PROTEIN: THE ROLE OF THE AROMATIC SIDE CHAINS

The participation ratio provides a convenient measure of an exciton size. Its inverse gives the number of chromophores on which the exciton is delocalized. For our model, this parameter is 3.4. We have calculated the following parameter which is a measure of the number of chromophores on which the excitons are delocalized within the local environment around a selected chromophore $j$

$$M_j = \sum_\epsilon \left| \frac{\langle \epsilon | c_j \rangle}{\sum_\epsilon \left| \langle \epsilon | c_j \rangle \right|^2} \right|^4$$

(4.1)

$\epsilon$ is the coefficient of chromophore $j$ in the exciton state $\epsilon$. This can be used to track the extent of delocalization of the excitons along the proteins.

Figure 3 depicts $M_j$ at the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions. The excitons are more delocalized at the β-strands than at the turns. This can be rationalized by two factors. First, a stronger coupling between neighboring amide $\beta$-strands. Second, maximum delocalization is achieved close to the position of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions vs the number of chromophores on which the excitons are delocalized within the local environment around a selected chromophore $j$.

Figure 3. Measure of the number of chromophores on which the excitons are delocalized (eq 4.1) at the local environment of a $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition versus the peptide bond $N$.

In Figure 4, we depict a scatter plot of the average angle between neighboring amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions vs the number of chromophores on which the excitons are delocalized (eq 4.1). It clearly separates into two spectral regions that can be associated with the turns (from 80 to 90°) and the β-strands (from 110 to 140°). The lower delocalization at the turns reduces the overlap between excitons and the magnitude of their contribution to the 2DFUV signal.

In the following section, we proceed to analyze the 2DFUV signal based on these exciton properties.

5. ANALYSIS OF THE 2DFUV SIGNAL

The 2DFUV $\text{xxxx}$ spectrum shown in Figure 5a has a very narrow and intense blue peak along the diagonal, which is dominated by single exciton contributions and resembles the absorption spectrum. The red cross-peaks are much weaker and they are symmetric with respect to the diagonal. The $\text{xxxx} \rightarrow \text{xxxx}$ signal is much more sensitive to the geometry than $\text{xxxx}$. It shows a diagonal blue peak that is considerably broader. Single exciton contributions are eliminated by this pulse sequence.

6. ANALYSIS OF THE DISSECTION MAPS

We next discuss the contributions of the turns and β-strands of the Aβ20 proteins to the 2DFUV signals. The Frenkel exciton Hamiltonian for the complete aggregate is first divided into the turns and the β-strands. The contributions to the $\text{xxxx} \rightarrow \text{xxxx}$ 2DFUV signal are shown in Figure 6b for the turns and 6c for the strands. The spectrum for the separated β-strands is very similar to the complete spectrum with coupling, but the central peak is clearly split into two. This may be attributed to the strong coupling between transitions at the β-strands, leading to the splitting of the electronic transitions in blue. The red peaks are then generated by the couplings between split transitions of low and high energy at the β-strands.

The sum of the contributions of the turns and the β-strands obtained by neglecting their coupling is shown in Figure 6a. This is very different from the spectrum for the complete amyloid fibril (Figures 5b and 6d). This suggests a weakness in this dissection methodology. When the Hamiltonian of the whole aggregate is divided into two, some off-diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian are eliminated. Since the exciton at the turns are strongly coupled with the strands, the 2D spectrum of these separated fragments (Figure 6b and c) is not realistic. A different method based on the projection on real space of the last laser pulse–matter interaction in the four-wave-mixing experiment has been used previously. In this dissection, the sum of the contributions is equal to the total signal. This gives Figure 6e and f for the β-strands and the turns, respectively. Figure 6e can be interpreted in a similar way as Figure 6b. However, Figure 6f is very different from Figure 6c. The spectrum for the turns, Figure 6c, is strongest at 52000 cm$^{-1}$. No splitting is observed due to the lower coupling between neighboring peptide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions in these regions.

The sensitivity analysis contour maps were constructed by increasing the energy of the diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian that are associated with the turns, Figure 6h, or β-strands, Figure 6i, by 0.1% and subsequently calculating the shift of the signal with this perturbation. The sensitivity map for the turns (Figure 6i) is strongest at 54000 and 55000 cm$^{-1}$, while the largest sensitivity for the β-strands is observed at

The signal for the turns is again shown to be dominant around the diagonal, consistent with the argument on the splitting of amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions. A one-dimensional section of the 2DFUV spectrum is shown in Figure 7, with the corresponding contributions of the turns and $\beta$-strands. This section is along the antidiagonal.

Figure 5. 2DFUV contour maps for the amyloid fibril model: (a) xxxx and (b) xyxy–xyxy. Part b is 2 orders of magnitude weaker.

Figure 6. Contributions of the turns and the $\beta$-strands to the 2DFUV signal with the xyxy–xyxy polarization configuration dissected by means of different methods. (a) The sum of parts b and c. In part b, a Frenkel exciton Hamiltonian of the $\beta$-strands is separated from the Hamiltonian of the whole aggregate model. (c) The same approach for the turns (the signal is 1 order of magnitude lower than the one in part b). (d) The sum of parts e and f. (e) A dissection by projecting the last light–matter interaction of the four wave mixing experiment in real space is applied to the $\beta$-strands. (f) The same approach for the turns. (g) The sum of parts h and i. (h) A sensitivity analysis as described in section 5 is applied to the diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian associated with the $\beta$-strands. (i) The same approach is applied to the turns.

50000 cm$^{-1}$. The signal for the turns is again shown to be dominant around the diagonal, consistent with the argument on the splitting of amide $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions.
angles are generally away from 90°, and they will also broaden the contribution of the turns to the signal.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We have illustrated the properties of excitons at the β-strands and turns of an amyloid fibril model, and discussed how these segments contribute to the 2DFUV signal. Exciton splitting is much larger for the strands, and they generate cross-peaks farther from the diagonals than the turns. One central peak and two cross-peaks are the main features expected for proteins. The ratio of the intensity of the central peak and the cross-peaks is useful for the characterization of the secondary structure. β-strands show strong cross-peaks, while the turns show a relatively intense central peak. The detection of transport using the photon echo signal is expected to inform on further details of the secondary structure.
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